

LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT

State of Louisiana v. Charles Fair

No. 2026 KW 0132 (La. Ct. App. 2026) · No. 2026 KW 0132 · Decided February 5, 2026

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

STATE OF LOUISIANA COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST CIRCUIT STATE OF LOUISIANA NO. 2026 KW 0132 VERSUS CHARLES FAIR FEBRUARY 5, 2026 In Re: Charles Fair, applying for supervisory writs, 23rd Judicial District Court, Parish of Assumption, No. 24- 51. BEFORE: THERIOT, BALFOUR, AND HAGGERTY,! JJ. WRIT NOT CONSIDERED. Counsel failed to comply with the affidavit and return date requirements of Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rules 4-3 and 4-5.

Counsel failed to comply with Rules 4-5(C) (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10), by failing to include a copy of the ruling complained of, the judge's reasons for judgment (if any), the motion at issue, the indictment, a statement that no written opposition was filed, and the pertinent court minutes.

Moreover, although it is not a violation of the Uniform Rules, we note that counsel failed to include the relevant portions of the trial transcript and a copy of any exhibits introduced at the proceedings. Therefore, this court cannot adequately review the ruling at issue herein. See *City of Baton Rouge v. Plain*, 433 So.2d 710 (La.), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 896, 104 S.Ct.

246, 78 L.Ed.2d 235 (1983). Supplementation of this writ application and/or an application for rehearing will not be considered. See Uniform Rules of Louisiana Courts of Appeal, Rules 2-18.7 & 4-9. Any future filing on this issue should include the entire contents of this writ application, the missing items noted above, and a copy of this ruling. MRT KEB BDE TY CLERK OF COURT FOR THE COURT 1 Haggerty, J., serving pro tempore, by special appointment of the Louisiana Supreme Court.