

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

In re William Henry Krieg

No. 03-26-00425-CV · No. 03-26-00425-CV · Decided May 19, 2026

SUMMARY

The Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, denied William Henry Krieg's pro se petition for writ of mandamus seeking findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning a trial court dismissal order. The court held that Krieg was not entitled to relief because he did not timely request findings under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 296 and 297.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN NO. 03-26-00425-CV In re William Henry Krieg ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FROM TRAVIS COUNTY MEMORANDUM OPINION Krieg, who is acting pro se and an inmate in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, seeks mandamus relief to require the district court to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning an order of dismissal that he represents the trial court signed on December 30, 2025.

Even if mandamus relief is available to correct a trial court's failure to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law, relator has not established his entitlement to mandamus relief. Based on the record provided, the trial court was not required to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law because relator did not request them timely. See Tex. R. Civ.

P. 296 (requiring party to file request for findings of fact and conclusions of law within 20 days after judgment is signed), 297 (requiring court to file findings of fact and conclusions of law "after a timely request is filed").

Accordingly, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus. See Tex. R. App. P. 52.8(a).

Gisela D. Triana, Justice Before Justices
Triana, Kelly, and Ellis Filed: May 19, 2026 2