

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

In re William Henry Krieg

No. 03-26-00425-CV · No. 03-26-00425-CV · Decided May 19, 2026

SUMMARY

The Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, denied William Henry Krieg’s pro se petition for writ of mandamus seeking findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning a trial court dismissal order. The court held that Krieg was not entitled to relief because he did not timely request findings under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 296 and 297.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, at Austin
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Gisela D. Triana; Justice Kelly; Justice Ellis
JURISDICTION	Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, at Austin
DECIDED	May 19, 2026
DOCKET	03-26-00425-CV
DISPOSITION	writ_denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original mandamus proceeding in which William Henry Krieg sought to compel the district court to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning an order of dismissal.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Mandamus relief is available only when the relator establishes entitlement to relief; the court reviewed the record provided to determine whether the district court was required to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published memorandum opinion
PARTIES	William Henry Krieg

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- remedies
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Appellate procedure
- Mandamus

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Krieg was entitled to mandamus relief compelling the district court to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning its dismissal order.
2. Whether the district court was required to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law when the relator did not timely request them.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court was not required to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law because Krieg did not timely request them within 20 days after the judgment was signed.
2. Krieg did not establish his entitlement to mandamus relief, and the petition for writ of mandamus was denied.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Based on the record provided, the trial court was not required to issue findings of fact and conclusions of law because relator did not request them timely.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

William Henry Krieg, proceeding pro se and incarcerated in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, sought findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning a district-court dismissal order that he represented was signed on December 30, 2025. The appellate record did not establish that he timely requested the findings.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Krieg represented that the district court signed an order of dismissal on December 30, 2025. He petitioned the Texas Court of Appeals for a writ of mandamus requiring findings of fact and conclusions of law. The court denied relief because the record did not establish that Krieg timely requested the findings.

DISPOSITION

writ_denied