

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. LeFleur

LeFleur, 2025 NY Slip Op 07113 (Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division First Department 2025) · No. Ind. No. 75601/22; Appeal No. 5435; Case No. 2023-06477 · Decided December 18, 2025

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered October 25, 2023, in a criminal case involving Stanley LeFleur. The court found that the sentence was not excessive.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Kern, J.P.; Scarpulla, J.; Friedman, J.; Shulman, J.; Rosado, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	December 18, 2025
DOCKET	Ind. No. 75601/22; Appeal No. 5435; Case No. 2023-06477
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered October 25, 2023.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Stanley LeFleur v. The People of the State of New York

TOPICS

sentencing

appellate procedure

criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal law

criminal procedure

appellate practice

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the sentence imposed by the Supreme Court, New York County, was excessive.

HOLDINGS

1. The sentence was not excessive.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.” ([*1])

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive factual background concerning the underlying criminal conduct. It states only that the appeal challenged a judgment and that the appellate court reviewed the sentence for excessiveness.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Stanley LeFleur appealed to the Appellate Division, First Department, from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County, rendered October 25, 2023. After considering the appeal, the court found that the sentence was not excessive and unanimously affirmed the judgment.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

OPINION

People v. LeFleur 2025 NY Slip Op 07113

PER CURIAM.

People v LeFleur (2025 NY Slip Op 07113) People v LeFleur 2025 NY Slip Op 07113 Decided on December 18, 2025 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided and Entered: December 18, 2025 Before: Kern, J.P., Scarpulla, Friedman, Shulman, Rosado, JJ. Ind No. 75601/22|Appeal No. 5435|Case No. 2023-06477| [*1]The People of the State of New York, Respondent, v Stanley LeFleur, Defendant-Appellant. Twyla Carter, The Legal Aid Society, New York (Rebecca D. Martin of counsel), for appellant. Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Margaret Crookston of counsel), for respondent. An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County (Gregory Carro, J.), rendered October 25, 2023, Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: December 18, 2025 Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division,

First Department.

PER CURIAM.

People v LeFleur (2025 NY Slip Op 07113) People v LeFleur 2025 NY Slip Op 07113 Decided on December 18, 2025 Appellate Division, First Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided and Entered: December 18, 2025 Before: Kern, J.P., Scarpulla, Friedman, Shulman, Rosado, JJ. Ind No. 75601/22|Appeal No. 5435|Case No. 2023-06477| [*1]The People of the State of New York, Respondent, v Stanley LeFleur, Defendant-Appellant. Twyla Carter, The Legal Aid Society, New York (Rebecca D. Martin of counsel), for appellant. Darcel D. Clark, District Attorney, Bronx (Margaret Crookston of counsel), for respondent. An appeal having been taken to this Court by the above-named appellant from a judgment of the Supreme Court, New York County (Gregory Carro, J.), rendered October 25, 2023, Said appeal having been argued by counsel for the respective parties, due deliberation having been had thereon, and finding the sentence not excessive, It is unanimously ordered that the judgment so appealed from be and the same is hereby affirmed.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT. ENTERED: December 18, 2025 Counsel for appellant is referred to § 606.5, Rules of the Appellate Division, First Department.