

SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI

Cindy Henderson and John Henderson v. Copper Ridge Homes, LLC, Richard Coney, Individually and First Bank, a Mississippi Banking Corporation

Henderson · No. No. 2017-CA-00959-SCT · Decided March 14, 2019

SUMMARY

The Mississippi Supreme Court reviewed a dispute arising from the construction and financing of the Hendersons’ home, including claims for breach of contract and torts, judicial foreclosure, and wrongful foreclosure. The Court affirmed the judicial foreclosure but reversed summary judgment for Copper Ridge Homes and First Bank, holding that the Hendersons’ construction-related claims did not transfer with title to the property upon foreclosure. The case was remanded for further proceedings, including consideration of amendment to add a wrongful-foreclosure claim.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Mississippi
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; Randolph, C.J.; King, P.J.; Coleman, J.; Maxwell, J.; Chamberlin, J.; Ishee, J.; Griffis, J.; Kitchens, P.J.; Beam, J.
JURISDICTION	Mississippi
DECIDED	March 14, 2019
DOCKET	No. 2017-CA-00959-SCT
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The homeowners appealed from a Pike County Circuit Court judgment granting First Bank judicial foreclosure, denying their motions to amend the complaint to add wrongful foreclosure, and granting Copper Ridge Homes and First Bank post-foreclosure summary judgment on the homeowners' construction-related claims.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Summary judgment is reviewed de novo, viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. Denial of leave to amend a pleading is reviewed for abuse of discretion.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published Mississippi Supreme Court opinion; precedential
PARTIES	Cindy Henderson, John Henderson v. Copper Ridge Homes, LLC, Richard Coney, Individually, First Bank, a Mississippi Banking

TOPICS

construction law breach of contract foreclosure summary judgment motion to amend

PRACTICE AREAS

contracts construction law real estate civil procedure appellate procedure remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether First Bank was entitled to judicial foreclosure based on the Hendersons' undisputed failure to make payments required by the promissory note and deed of trust.
2. Whether the Hendersons' construction-related causes of action traveled with title to the property upon foreclosure, thereby eliminating their right or standing to pursue damages.
3. Whether the circuit court abused its discretion by denying the Hendersons' motion for leave to amend their complaint to add a wrongful-foreclosure claim.

HOLDINGS

1. First Bank was entitled to summary judgment and judicial foreclosure because the Hendersons undisputedly breached the promissory note and deed of trust by failing to make the required payments, and no genuine issue of material fact defeated foreclosure.
2. The Hendersons' causes of action for alleged faulty construction did not travel with title to the real property upon foreclosure. The deed of trust conveyed the property and identified real-property interests, but did not convey the Hendersons' personal causes of action or choses in action.
3. Because the post-foreclosure summary judgment was erroneous and the wrongful-foreclosure claim had become ripe after foreclosure, the trial court should allow the Hendersons to amend their complaint to add wrongful foreclosure.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, the Court holds that the trial court erred in finding that the Hendersons’ claims traveled with the title to the property upon foreclosure.” (§22)

“Finding that the trial court erred in granting Copper Ridge’s and First Bank’s post- foreclosure summary-judgment motions on the Hendersons’ claims, the Court affirms the grant of judicial foreclosure, reverses the grant of summary judgment to Copper Ridge and First Bank, and remands the case to the trial court for proceedings consistent with this opinion.” (§26)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Hendersons entered into a home-construction contract with Copper Ridge and a financing agreement with First Bank. They claimed the construction contract was fixed-price at \$320,000, while Copper Ridge characterized it as cost-plus, and they disputed alleged overage charges and loan disbursements. After the

Hendersons failed to make a required loan payment, First Bank obtained judicial foreclosure. The Hendersons' construction and tort claims remained pending when the circuit court held that foreclosure extinguished their ability to pursue damages.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Hendersons sued Copper Ridge and First Bank over the construction and financing of their new home. After the Hendersons defaulted on the promissory note, First Bank counterclaimed for judicial foreclosure, which the circuit court granted. The court later denied repeated motions to add a wrongful-foreclosure claim and granted post-foreclosure summary judgment to Copper Ridge and First Bank on the ground that the construction claims traveled with the property. The Mississippi Supreme Court affirmed the foreclosure, reversed the post-foreclosure summary judgments, and remanded, directing that the complaint be amended to add wrongful foreclosure.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Affirm the judicial foreclosure, reverse the post-foreclosure summary judgments granted to Copper Ridge Homes and First Bank, and remand for proceedings consistent with the opinion, including allowing amendment of the complaint to add wrongful foreclosure and determining the Hendersons' remaining claims.

CASES CITED

- Karpinsky v. American National Insurance Co., 109 So. 3d 84, 88 (Miss. 2013)
- Pratt v. Gulfport-Biloxi Regional Airport Authority, 97 So. 3d 68, 71 (Miss. 2012)
- Citizens National Bank v. Dixieland Forest Products, LLC, 935 So. 2d 1004, 1008-09, 1014 (Miss. 2006)
- Maranatha Faith Center, Inc. v. Colonial Trust Co., 904 So. 2d 1004, 1005, 1009-10 (Miss. 2004)
- Vaughn v. Dame Construction Co., 223 Cal. App. 3d 144, 146, 149 (Cal. Ct. App. 1990)
- Webb v. Braswell, 930 So. 2d 387, 393 (Miss. 2006)
- Hester v. Bandy, 627 So. 2d 833, 839 (Miss. 1993)
- Byrd Bros., LLC v. Herring, 861 So. 2d 1070, 1073, 1073 ¶16 (Miss. Ct. App. 2003)
- Fitzner Pontiac-Buick-Cadillac, Inc. v. Smith, 523 So. 2d 324, 328 (Miss. 1988)
- UHS-Qualicare, Inc. v. Gulf Coast Community Hospital, Inc., 525 So. 2d 746, 756 (Miss. 1987)
- Timms v. Pearson, 876 So. 2d 1083 (Miss. Ct. App. 2004)
- Ferrara v. Walters, 919 So. 2d 876 (Miss. 2005)
- Bell v. State, 879 So. 2d 423, 434 ¶28 (Miss. 2004)
- Simmons v. State, 805 So. 2d 452, 487 ¶90 (Miss. 2001)
- Randolph v. State, 852 So. 2d 547, 558 ¶30 (Miss. 2002)
- Doss v. State, 956 So. 2d 1100, 1102 ¶7 (Miss. Ct. App. 2007)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/mississippi-supreme-court-of-mississippi/2019/cindy-henderson-and-john-henderson-v-copper-ridge-homes-llc-erpgsqee>