

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

Ana Ventura v. Brenda Elizabeth Yanez, et al.

Case No. 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS · No. 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS · Decided June 20, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the action without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the case had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the parties 30 days to reopen the action for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

|                    |                                                                                                         |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT              | United States District Court for the Central District of California                                     |
| JURISDICTION       | United States District Court for the Central District of California                                     |
| DECIDED            | June 20, 2025                                                                                           |
| DOCKET             | 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS                                                                                    |
| DISPOSITION        | dismissed                                                                                               |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE | The action was dismissed without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the matter had settled. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE | nonprecedential                                                                                         |

TOPICS

- [civil procedure](#) [contracts](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [civil procedure](#) [settlement](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the settled action should be dismissed without prejudice while preserving a limited opportunity to reopen if the settlement is not consummated.

HOLDINGS

1. The action was dismissed without costs and without prejudice because counsel advised the court that the matter had settled.

KEY QUOTATIONS

- “IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the action if settlement is not consummated.”* (1)
- “The court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.”* (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Counsel advised the court that the action had been settled. The court dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice, subject to reopening for good cause within thirty days if the settlement was not consummated.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The case was pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. After being advised by counsel that the action had settled, the court dismissed it without prejudice, while allowing reopening for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)

OPINION

Ana Ventura v. Brenda Yanez

PER CURIAM.

3 4 5 6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 ANA VENTURA CASE NO: 10 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS

Plaintiff(s), 11 v.

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

12 BRENDA ELIZABETH YANEZ , et al. WITHOUT PREJUDICE

13 14 Defendant(s). 15 16 17 Having been advised by counsel that the above-entitled action has been settled, 18 IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs 19 and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the 20 action if settlement is not consummated. The court retains full jurisdiction 21 over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action. Failure 22 to re-open or seek an extension of time to re-open the action by the deadline 23 set forth above shall be deemed as consent by the parties to dismissal of the 24 action without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash

R.R. Co., 25 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 26 27 Dated The 20th of June 2025 /s/

---

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/ana-ventura-v-brenda-elizabeth-yanez-et-al-esh41gy0>