

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Ana Ventura v. Brenda Elizabeth Yanez, et al.

Case No. 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS · No. 2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS · Decided June 20, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the action without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the case had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the parties 30 days to reopen the action for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	June 20, 2025
DOCKET	2:24-cv-10858-FMO-KS
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The action was dismissed without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the matter had settled.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential

TOPICS

- [civil procedure](#) [contracts](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

- [civil procedure](#) [settlement](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the settled action should be dismissed without prejudice while preserving a limited opportunity to reopen if the settlement is not consummated.

HOLDINGS

1. The action was dismissed without costs and without prejudice because counsel advised the court that the matter had settled.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the action if settlement is not consummated." (1)

"The court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action." (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Counsel advised the court that the action had been settled. The court dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice, subject to reopening for good cause within thirty days if the settlement was not consummated.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The case was pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. After being advised by counsel that the action had settled, the court dismissed it without prejudice, while allowing reopening for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)