

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

**Estate of Vincent Frank Boscaino, Jr., et al. v. Adventist Health
Hanford, et al.**

Estate of Boscaino · No. 1:24-cv-00689 JLT EPG · Decided December 31, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 ESTATE OF VINCENT FRANK) Case No.: 1:24-cv-00689 JLT EPG
BOSCAINO, JR., et al.,) 12) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND Plaintiff,)
RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL 13) v.) (Docs. 66, 108) 14) ADVENTIST HEALTH
HANFORD, et al.,) 15) Defendants.) 16) 17 Plaintiffs bring federal and California state law
claims, alleging that various Defendants failed 18 to provide adequate medical care to Decedent
Vincent Frank Boscaino, Jr., which ultimately led to his 19 death.

20 On December 9, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued Findings and Recommendations,
21 recommending that Defendant Adventist Health Hanford’s motion to dismiss be denied. (Docs.
66, 22 108.) The Findings and Recommendations advised the parties that any objections were due
within 14 23 days. (Id. at 9.)

The parties were further warned that “failure to file objections within the specified 24 time may
result in the waiver of rights on appeal.” (Id. (citing *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 839 25
(9th Cir. 2014).) No party has filed objections, and the time to do so has expired. 26 According to
28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a de novo review of this case.

27 Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations
are 28 supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS: 1 1. The Findings
and Recommendations dated December 9, 2025 (Doc. 108) are 2 ADOPTED in full. 3 2.
Adventist Health Hanford’s motion to dismiss (Doc. 66) is DENIED. 4 5 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _ December 31, 2025 Charis [Tourn 7 TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 8 9 10 11 12 13
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28