

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Exeter Township v. PLRB

Exeter Township · No. Nos. 92 MAL 2018 and 96 MAL 2018 · Decided September 5, 2018

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania granted petitions for allowance of appeal in Exeter Township v. Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board, limiting review to whether Section 614 of the Municipalities Planning Code provides sufficient basis to determine that a zoning officer is a management-level employee without evidence of actual job duties. Allocatur was denied as to all remaining issues.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT EXETER TOWNSHIP,; No. 92 MAL 2018 Respondent:: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from: the Order of the Commonwealth Court v.: PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS: BOARD,; Petitioner: EXETER TOWNSHIP: No. 96 MAL 2018 v.: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from: the Order of the Commonwealth Court PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS: BOARD: PETITION OF: TEAMSTERS LOCAL 429,; Intervenor: ORDER PER CURIAM AND NOW, this 5th day of September, 2018, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED, LIMITED TO the issue set forth below.

Allocatur is DENIED as to all remaining issues. The issue rephrased for clarity, is: (1) Does Section 614 of the Municipalities Planning Code, 53 P.S. § 10614, provide sufficient basis to determine that a zoning officer is a management level employee absent evidence regarding actual job duties?