

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Exeter Township v. PLRB

Exeter Township · No. Nos. 92 MAL 2018 and 96 MAL 2018 · Decided September 5, 2018

OPINION

Exeter Township v. PLRB

PER CURIAM.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MIDDLE DISTRICT

EXETER TOWNSHIP, : No. 92 MAL 2018

Respondent : : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from : the Order of the Commonwealth Court v. :
PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS : BOARD, : Petitioner :

EXETER TOWNSHIP : No. 96 MAL 2018

v. : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from : the Order of the Commonwealth Court
PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS : BOARD : PETITION OF: TEAMSTERS LOCAL
429, : Intervenor :

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 5th day of September, 2018, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED,
LIMITED TO the issue set forth below. Allocatur is DENIED as to all remaining issues. The issue
rephrased for clarity, is:

(1) Does Section 614 of the Municipalities Planning Code, 53 P.S. § 10614,
provide sufficient basis to determine that a zoning officer is a management level employee absent
evidence regarding actual job duties?