

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION**

Christy M. v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security

Christy M. · No. 2:25-cv-316-JTA · Decided January 14, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama granted the Commissioner of Social Security’s unopposed motion for entry of judgment with remand. The court reversed the Commissioner’s decision and remanded the matter for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

OPINION

Morgan

PER CURIAM.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

CHRISTY M.,)

) Plaintiff,)) v.) CASE NO. 2:25-cv-316-JTA) FRANK BISIGNANO, Commissioner of) Social
Security,)) Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant’s Unopposed Motion for Entry of Judgment with Remand. (Doc. No. 21.)¹ In the motion, the Commissioner states remand is appropriate pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further consideration and administrative action. (Id. at 2.) Sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) authorizes the district court to “enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The district court may remand a case to the Commissioner for a rehearing if the court finds “either . . . the decision is not supported by substantial evidence, or . . . the Commissioner or the ALJ incorrectly applied the law relevant to the disability claim.” *Jackson v. Chater*, 99 F.3d 1086, 1092 (11th Cir. 1996). 1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) and Rule 73 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties have consented to the full jurisdiction of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge. Here, the Court finds remand necessary as the Commissioner concedes that further administrative action is in order. Moreover, Plaintiff does not oppose the motion. (Doc. No. 21 at 1.) Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. The Commissioner’s unopposed motion (Doc. No. 21) is GRANTED.

2. The decision of the Commissioner is hereby REVERSED.

3. This matter is hereby REMANDED to the Commissioner for further proceedings

pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A separate judgment will issue. DONE this 14th day of January, 2026. aie T. ADAMS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE