

COURT OF APPEALS SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT
TEXARKANA

Edwardo Morrow v. the State of Texas

No. 06-25-00100-CR (Tex. App.—Texarkana May 22, 2026) (mem. op.) · No. No. 06-25-00100-CR · Decided
May 22, 2026

SUMMARY

The Sixth Court of Appeals of Texas at Texarkana affirmed Edwardo Morrow’s conviction and twenty-seven-year sentence for possession of four grams or more but less than 200 grams of methamphetamine. Applying *Anders v. California*, the court independently reviewed the record, found no reversible error, and granted appellate counsel’s motion to withdraw.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Jeff Ramin; Stevens, C.J.; van Cleef, J.; Ramin, J.
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
DECIDED	May 22, 2026
DOCKET	No. 06-25-00100-CR
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Morrow appealed his conviction and sentence following a guilty plea. Appellate counsel filed an <i>Anders</i> brief asserting that the appeal presented no meritorious or reversible error and moved to withdraw.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	In an <i>Anders</i> appeal, the appellate court independently reviews the entire record to determine whether reversible error exists.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished memorandum opinion; marked Do Not Publish.
PARTIES	Edwardo Morrow v. The State of Texas

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- standard of review

PRACTICE AREAS

- criminal appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- Anders appeals

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether independent review of the record in accordance with Anders disclosed any reversible error requiring reversal of Morrow's conviction or sentence.
2. Whether appellate counsel should be permitted to withdraw after filing an Anders brief.

HOLDINGS

1. After independently reviewing the entire appellate record and the Anders brief, the court determined that no reversible error existed and affirmed the trial court's judgment.
2. Counsel's professional evaluation of the record satisfied the requirements of Anders.
3. The court granted appellate counsel's motion to withdraw after determining that the case presented no reversible error.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"We have reviewed the entire appellate record and have independently determined that no reversible error exists."

"In the Anders context, once we determine that no reversible error exists, we must affirm the trial court's judgment."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Morrow pleaded guilty to second-degree felony possession of methamphetamine in an amount of four grams or more but less than 200 grams. Following closing arguments by both parties without the presentation of evidence, the trial court accepted the plea and assessed a twenty-seven-year prison sentence.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Morrow pleaded guilty in the 124th District Court of Gregg County to second-degree felony possession of methamphetamine weighing four grams or more but less than 200 grams. After closing arguments without the presentation of evidence, the trial court accepted the plea and assessed twenty-seven years' imprisonment. On appeal, counsel filed an Anders brief and motion to withdraw; Morrow was notified of his right to file a pro se response but filed none. The Court of Appeals independently reviewed the record, found no reversible error, affirmed the judgment, and granted counsel's motion to withdraw.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967)
- Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319–20 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014)
- Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-sixth-appellate-district-of-texas-at-texarkana-court-of-appeals-sixth-appellate-dis/2026/edwardo-morrow-v-the-state-of-texas-f6h84p3b>