

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Clear View Enterprises, LLP v. Elizabeth Beauvil, et al.

Clear View Enterprises · No. 2:22-cv-01119-KJM-JDP · Decided October 15, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California granted a stipulated request to extend the deadline for motions for default judgment against Elizabeth Beauvil and Tanpri Media & Arts, Inc. The court extended the deadline to thirty days after dismissal or judgment against cross-claimants Lawrence Albano and Global Empire Holdings LLC, citing the rule that default judgment should generally await adjudication of claims against similarly situated defendants.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	October 15, 2025
DOCKET	2:22-cv-01119-KJM-JDP
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The court considered a stipulated joint request by the plaintiff and cross-claimants to extend the deadline for motions for default judgment against two defaulting defendants.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown

TOPICS

- default judgment
- default
- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- commercial litigation
- remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the deadline for motions for default judgment against defaulting defendants should be extended when the claims against the defaulting and nondefaulting parties are intertwined.

HOLDINGS

1. The court granted the stipulated request and extended the deadline for motions for default judgment against Beauvil and Tanpri until thirty days after the court enters dismissal or judgment, as applicable, against Albano and Global Empire Holdings.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“judgment should not be entered against the defaulting defendant until the matter has been adjudicated with regard to all defendants” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Clear View Enterprises brought claims against Elizabeth Beauvil, Global Empire Holdings LLC, Lawrence Albano, and Chelsea Jones. Albano and Global Empire Holdings asserted cross-claims against Beauvil and Tanpri Media & Arts, Inc., and the Clerk entered default against Beauvil and Tanpri. Because the claims were intertwined and damages had not yet been ascertained, the parties sought additional time before seeking default judgment.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Clear View Enterprises pursued claims against several defendants, while Lawrence Albano and Global Empire Holdings LLC asserted cross-claims against Elizabeth Beauvil and Tanpri Media & Arts, Inc. The Clerk entered default against Beauvil and Tanpri in July 2025, and the court later set a thirty-day deadline for motions for default judgment. The court granted the stipulated request to defer and extend that deadline until after dismissal or judgment against the cross-claimants.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Garamendi v. Henin, 683 F.3d 1069, 1082 (9th Cir. 2012)
- In re First T.D. & Investment, Inc., 253 F.3d 520, 532 (9th Cir. 2001)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 Clear View Enterprises, LLP, No. 2:22-cv-01119-KJM-JDP 12 Plaintiff,
ORDER 13 Vv. 14 Elizabeth Beauvil, et al., 1S Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Clear View
Enterprises, LLP is pursuing claims in this action against defendants 18 | Elizabeth Beauvil,
Global Empire Holdings LLC, Lawrence Albano, and Chelsea Jones.

Albano 19 | and Global Empire Holdings later filed cross claims against Beauvil and Tanpri Media
& Arts, 20 | Inc. The Clerk’s Office entered the default of Beauvil and Tanpri in July 2025. ECF
Nos. 62-63. 21 | On September 10, 2025, the court set a thirty-day deadline for any motion for

default judgment. 22 | ECF No. 64. Clear View, Albano and Global Empire Holdings now stipulate and jointly request 23 | an extension of that deadline.

ECF No. 65. They explain the claims against all defendants are 24 | intertwined and ask the court to defer consideration of a motion for default judgment until any 25 | damages can be ascertained. /d. at 3. 26 The stipulated request is granted. See *Garamendi v. Henin*, 683 F.3d 1069, 1082 (9th Cir. 27 | 2012) (summarizing rule that when defendants are “similarly situated,” and one of them defaults, 28 | “judgment should not be entered against the defaulting defendant until the matter has been 1 | adjudicated with regard to all defendants”) (quoting *Jn Neilson v. Chang (In re First T.D. & 2 | Investment, Inc.)*, 253 F.3d 520, 532 (9th Cir.

2001)). 3 The deadline for motions for default judgment against Beauvil and Tanpri is extended to 4 | thirty days from the date the court enters dismissal or judgment, as applicable, against cross- 5 | claimants Albano and Global Empire Holdings. 6 IT IS SO ORDERED. 7 | DATED: October 15, 2025. ot STATES DISTRICT JUDGE