

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH
CAROLINA, COLUMBIA DIVISION**

**Dominique Rashad Quiller v. SC Probation Parole and Pardon
Services**

Civil Action No. 3:25-13067-MGL (D.S.C. Jan. 28, 2026) · No. 3:25-13067-MGL · Decided January 28, 2026

OPINION

psEs DISTR ey. ey 9 Osu” IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION DOMINIQUE RASHAD QUILLER, § Plaintiff, § § VS. § Civil Action No. 3:25-13067-MGL § SC PROBATION PAROLE AND PARDON § SERVICES, § Defendant. § ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, SUMMARILY DISMISSING THIS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND DEEMING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS Plaintiff Dominique Rashad Quiller (Quiller), who is representing himself, filed this action against Defendant SC Probation Parole and Pardon Services, alleging violations of his civil rights.

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting the Court summarily dismiss this case without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight.

The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on December 10, 2025. To date, Quiller has neglected to file any objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review[] but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins.*

Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845–46 (4th Cir. 1985). After a thorough review of the Report

and the record in this case under the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein.

Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court this case is summarily DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. In light of the Court's ruling, Quiller's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is DEEMED AS MOOT. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed this 28th day of January 2026, in Columbia, South Carolina. s/ Mary Geiger Lewis MARY GEIGER LEWIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ***** NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL Quiller is hereby notified of his right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.