

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA, ORLANDO DIVISION**

Paulo Oliveira v. American Airlines, Inc.

Oliveira · No. 6:25-cv-1133-CEM-LHP · Decided February 6, 2026

OPINION

Oliveira

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ORLANDO DIVISION

PAULO OLIVEIRA,

Plaintiff, v. Case No: 6:25-cv-1133-CEM-LHP

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendant

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Supplemental Discovery Responses and Production of Documents. Doc. No. 57. On review, the motion is DENIED without prejudice for failure to comply with the Standing Order on Discovery Motions. See Doc. No. 54. First, the motion exceeds the word limitations for a discovery motion. See *id.* ¶ 2. Second, the motion fails to attach the discovery requests and responses at issue. See *id.* ¶ 3. Third, the motion suggests (by Local Rule 3.01(g) conferral improperly imbedded in the middle of the motion and by attachment, see Local Rule 3.01(g)(2), Doc. No. 57, at 3; Doc. No. 57- 2), that the parties conferred solely via email. See *id.* ¶ 1. Any renewed motion must comply in full with the Standing Order on Discovery Motions (Doc. No. 54) and all applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules. See also *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 863 (1989) (pro se litigants are "subject to the relevant law and rules of court, including the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"). DONE and ORDERED in Orlando, Florida on February 6, 2026.

LESLIE 3 AN PRICE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to: Counsel of Record Unrepresented Parties