

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Iskander v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Iskander · No. 2:24-cv-3381-JDP · Decided April 9, 2025

OPINION

Iskander v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 MILAD ISKANDER, Case No. 2:24-cv-3381-JDP 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

15 Defendant, 16 17 On February 14, 2025, defendant filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's
complaint for failure 18 to state a claim. ECF No. 13. To date, plaintiff has not responded to
defendant's motion. 19 Under the court's local rules, a responding party is required to file an
opposition or 20 statement of non-opposition to a motion no later than fourteen days after the date
it was filed. 21 E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(c). To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants
to meet 22 certain deadlines. The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for
failure to 23 comply with its orders or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110;
Hells Canyon 24 Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v.
King, 856 F.2d 25 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a
district court has a 26 duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the
parties. See 27 Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. 28 1 The
court will give plaintiff the opportunity to explain why sanctions should not be 2 | imposed for
failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion. 3 | Plaintiff's
failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and 4 | will
result in dismissal of this action. 5 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 6 1. The April 17,
2025 hearing on defendant's motion is continued to May 22, 2025, at 7 | 10:00 a.m. 8 2. By no
later than April 24, 2025, plaintiff shall file an opposition or statement of non- 9 | opposition to
defendant's motion. 10 3. Plaintiff shall show cause, by no later than April 24, 2025, why
sanctions should not be 11 | imposed for failure to timely file an opposition or statement of non-
opposition to defendant's 12 | motion. 13 4. Defendant may file a reply to plaintiffs opposition, if
any, no later than May 8, 2025. 14 1s IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 | q Sty — Dated: _ April 8, 2025 q

17 JEREMY D. PETERSON

18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/iskander-v-jp-morgan-chase-bank-n-a-fq9hhdtv>