

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

Matter of Zachariah W. v. Dominique W.

2017 NY Slip Op 02801 (App. Div. 2017) · No. 2016-03177 · Decided April 12, 2017

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Second Department, reversed a Family Court order finding that the mother neglected her newborn child. The court held that the Administration for Children's Services failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the mother failed to provide adequate food, clothing, or shelter while financially able to do so or offered reasonable means to do so, and dismissed the neglect proceeding.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Mark C. Dillon, J.P.; John M. Leventhal, J.; Robert J. Miller, J.; Valerie Brathwaite Nelson, J.
JURISDICTION	New York
DECIDED	April 12, 2017
DOCKET	2016-03177
DISPOSITION	reversed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The mother appealed from a Family Court order of fact-finding that determined she neglected the subject child after a fact-finding hearing.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Whether the evidence established neglect by a preponderance of the evidence; the order was reversed on the facts.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published New York Appellate Division opinion
PARTIES	Dominique W. v. Administration for Children's Services

TOPICS

family law procedure

appellate procedure

parental rights

standard of review

family law

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether ACS proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the mother neglected the child under Family Court Act § 1012(f)(i)(A).
2. Whether the evidence established that the child's physical, mental, or emotional condition was impaired or in imminent danger of impairment because the mother failed to provide adequate food, clothing, or shelter despite being financially able to do so or being offered reasonable means to do so.

HOLDINGS

1. In a neglect proceeding under Family Court Act article 10, the petitioner must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the child was neglected.
2. A neglect finding requires proof that the child's physical, mental, or emotional condition was impaired or in imminent danger of impairment because the parent failed to exercise a minimum degree of care in supplying adequate food, clothing, or shelter, although financially able to do so or offered financial or other reasonable means to do so.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Actual or imminent danger of impairment is a “prerequisite to a finding of neglect [which] ensures that the Family Court, in deciding whether to authorize state intervention, will focus on serious harm or potential harm to the child, not just on what might be deemed undesirable parental behavior”” (at 1-2)

““Imminent danger . . . must be near or impending, not merely possible”” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The mother cared appropriately for the newborn while they were together in a Brooklyn hospital. Hospital personnel contacted ACS after learning that the mother had only public-assistance income and could not return with the child to the home where the maternal grandmother was staying. ACS removed the child on an emergency basis but did not provide the mother with housing information, emergency-housing information, or supplies for the child.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

ACS filed a child-neglect petition four days after the mother gave birth. Following a fact-finding hearing, the Family Court, Kings County, found that the mother neglected the child. The Appellate Division reversed on the facts, denied the petition, and dismissed the proceeding.

DISPOSITION

reversed

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

No remand was ordered. The order was reversed, the petition was denied, and the proceeding was dismissed.

CASES CITED

- Matter of Negus T. [Fayme B.], 123 AD3d 836, 836
- Nicholson v. Scoppetta, 3 NY3d 357, 368-369
- Matter of Milagros A.W. [John R.], 128 AD3d 1079, 1080
- Matter of Javan W. [Aba W.], 124 AD3d 1091, 1091
- Matter of Christian Q., 32 AD3d 669
- Matter of Tia B., 257 AD2d 366
- Matter of Kevin J., 162 AD2d 1034

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/new-york-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-appellate-division-second-judicial-department/2017/matter-of-zachariah-w-v-dominique-w-fre8j5xc>