

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

**Matter of Watson v. New York State Office of Children and Family
Services**

2019 NY Slip Op 03792 (App. Div. 2019) (Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division Second
Judicial Department 2019) · No. 2017-00068 · Decided May 15, 2019

OPINION

Matter of Watson v. New York State Off. of Children & Family Servs. 2019 NY Slip Op 3792
PER CURIAM.

Matter of Watson v New York State Off. of Children & Family Servs. (2019 NY Slip Op
03792) Matter of Watson v New York State Off. of Children & Family Servs. 2019 NY Slip
Op 03792 Decided on May 15, 2019 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New
York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This opinion is uncorrected
and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. Decided on May 15, 2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department ALAN D. SCHEINKMAN, P.J.

MARK C. DILLON

JOSEPH J. MALTESE

HECTOR D. LASALLE, JJ. 2017-00068

(Index No. 4798/16) [*1]In the Matter of Yolande Watson, petitioner, vNew York State Office of
Children and Family Services, respondent. Lipman & Booth, LLC, New York, NY
(Christopher Booth of counsel), for petitioner. Letitia James, Attorney General, New York, NY
(Anisha S. Dasgupta and Linda Fang of counsel), for respondent. DECISION &
JUDGMENT Proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78 to review a determination of the designee of
the Commissioner of the respondent, the New York State Office of Children and Family Services,
dated March 21, 2016, which, after a hearing, affirmed a determination of the New York State
Office of Children and Family Services to revoke the petitioner's license to operate a group family
day care home. ADJUDGED that the determination dated March 21, 2016, is confirmed, the
petition is denied, and the proceeding is dismissed on the merits, with costs. Contrary to the
petitioner's contention, the determination that she violated certain regulations, including
regulations pertaining to the supervision of children in her group family day care home, is
supported by substantial evidence in the record (see Matter of Faponnle v New York State Off. of
Children & Family Servs., 160 AD3d 950; Matter of Liddell v New York State Off. of
Children & Family Servs., 117 AD3d 742; Matter of Singleton v New York State Off. of
Children & Family Servs., 70 AD3d 706). There is no basis to disturb the credibility

determinations of the administrative law judge (see Matter of Malak v State of New York, 116 AD3d 587). SCHEINKMAN, P.J., DILLON, MALTESE and LASALLE, JJ., concur. ENTER: Aprilanne Agostino Clerk of the Court

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/new-york-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-appellate-division-second-judicial-department/2019/matter-of-watson-v-new-york-state-office-of-children-and-fxufedv4>