

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Ford v. Adams

Ford · No. 24-cv-06807-EKL · Decided August 12, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California dismissed Jasper Ford's action without prejudice after he failed to file an amended complaint within the court-ordered deadline. The court also cited his failure to comply with the local rule concerning keeping the court informed of his address and directed the Clerk to close the file.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
JASPER FORD, Case No. 24-cv-06807-EKL 8 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT 9
v. PREJUDICE 10 ADAMS, et al., ll Defendants. 12 13 On April 22, 2025, the Court screened
Plaintiff Jasper Ford's complaint and filed an order 14 || of dismissal with leave to amend. ECF
No. 11.

The order warned Ford that the case may be 3 15 || dismissed if he failed to file an amended
complaint within 28 days. /d. at5. After the mailing was a 16 returned to the Clerk as
undeliverable, the Court updated Ford's address and re-sent the order on 3 17 June 11, 2025. ECF
No. 13. More than two months later, the Court has not received an amended S 18 || complaint,
request for extension of time, or any other communication from Ford.

Given that Ford 19 || failed to comply with Civil Local Rule 3-11 and failed to file an amended
complaint, the matter is 20 |} DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. See WMX Techs. v. Miller,
104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 21 1997) (holding that further action by district court is necessary
where plaintiff fails to amend after 22 || dismissal with leave to amend); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d
1439, 1441 (9th Cir.

1988) (affirming 23 || dismissal of pro se prisoner's complaint for failing to notify court of his
change of address). 24 The Clerk shall close the file. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 || Dated: August
12, 2025 27 Eumi K. Lee 28 United States District Judge