

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
ARKANSAS, HARRISON DIVISION

Steven L. Maylee v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner, Social Security
Administration

Maylee · No. 25-3082 · Decided April 10, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas granted an unopposed motion to remand Steven L. Maylee's action seeking review of the denial of supplemental security income benefits. The court remanded the case for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, Harrison Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Christy Comstock
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, Harrison Division
DECIDED	April 10, 2026
DOCKET	25-3082
DISPOSITION	remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff sought judicial review under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) of the Commissioner's denial of supplemental security income benefits. The Commissioner filed an unopposed motion for a sentence-four remand for further administrative proceedings.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished district court memorandum opinion
PARTIES	Steven L. Maylee v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner, Social Security Administration

TOPICS

- judicial review of agency action
- administrative law
- remedies
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the district court should remand the Social Security case for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
2. Whether the requested remand was authorized under the exclusive remand mechanisms provided by 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

HOLDINGS

1. A district court may remand a Social Security case under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) by entering judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the Commissioner's decision, with or without remanding the matter for a rehearing.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff applied for supplemental security income benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. The Commissioner denied the application, leading Plaintiff to seek judicial review under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Maylee filed an action challenging the denial of his application for supplemental security income benefits. The Commissioner filed the administrative transcript and then moved, without opposition, for remand under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The district court granted the requested remand for further administrative action.

DISPOSITION

remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

Remand pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further administrative action and proceedings.

CASES CITED

- *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 296 (1993)