

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA

Gilbert C. Pilet, LLC v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Gilbert C. Pilet · No. 2:25-cv-14402-LEIBOWITZ/MAYNARD · Decided February 20, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida adopted and affirmed a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal of the complaint for failure to comply with court orders. The action was dismissed without prejudice, pending motions were denied as moot, and the case was closed.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
WRITING FOR THE COURT	David S. Leibowitz
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
DECIDED	February 20, 2026
DOCKET	2:25-cv-14402-LEIBOWITZ/MAYNARD
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court reviewed a magistrate judge's report and recommendation recommending dismissal without prejudice for failure to comply with court orders. No objections were filed, so the district court reviewed the recommendation for clear error, adopted it, and dismissed the action without prejudice.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	De novo review applies to portions of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to which sufficiently specific objections are made; portions not objected to are reviewed for clear error.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- pleadings
- commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. What standard of review applies when no party objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation?
2. Whether the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss the complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with court orders should be adopted.

HOLDINGS

1. When no specific objections are filed to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, the district court reviews the recommendation for clear error rather than conducting de novo review.
2. The action was properly dismissed without prejudice for the plaintiff's failure to comply with court orders.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Once a district court receives “objections meeting the specificity requirement set out above,” it must “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report to which objection is made and may accept, reject, or modify in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.””

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The plaintiff failed to comply with court orders. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal of the complaint without prejudice based on that failure. Neither party objected to the report and recommendation within the applicable objection period.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Shaniek Mills Maynard filed a report and recommendation on February 4, 2026, recommending dismissal of the complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with court orders. The parties filed no objections within the 14-day period. District Judge David S. Leibowitz adopted and affirmed the report and recommendation, dismissed the action without prejudice, denied pending motions as moot, terminated deadlines, and closed the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- *Macort v. Prem, Inc.*, 208 F. App'x 781, 783-84 (11th Cir. 2006)