

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

Angel Daniel Caraballo v. State of Florida

Caraballo · No. 6D2024-0099 · Decided May 1, 2026

SUMMARY

The Sixth District Court of Appeal of Florida held that Angel Daniel Caraballo's life sentences on counts one and two were illegal because they did not state his parole eligibility. The court reversed the denial of his Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(a) motion and remanded for ministerial corrections stating that he is eligible for parole after completing the 25-year mandatory minimum term.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Sixth District Court of Appeal of Florida
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per Curiam; Wozniak, J.; White, J.; Gannam, J.
JURISDICTION	Sixth District Court of Appeal of Florida
DECIDED	May 1, 2026
DOCKET	6D2024-0099
DISPOSITION	reversed_and_remanded
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal under Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.141(b)(2) from an order of the Circuit Court for Osceola County denying a Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(a) motion to correct illegal sentences.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published opinion; not final pending expiration or disposition of any timely motion for rehearing.
PARTIES	Angel Daniel Caraballo v. State of Florida

TOPICS

sentencing

sentence modification

post-conviction relief

criminal procedure

appellate procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal procedure

post-conviction relief

sentencing

appellate procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Caraballo's life sentences on counts one and two were illegal because they failed to state his eligibility for parole after completion of the twenty-five-year mandatory minimum term.

HOLDINGS

1. The life sentences on counts one and two were illegal to the extent they failed to state that Caraballo is eligible for parole after completing the twenty-five-year mandatory minimum term.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, we reverse the trial court’s order denying Caraballo’s motion and remand for the trial court to amend both the life sentence on count one and the life sentence on count two to state that Caraballo is eligible for parole after completing the 25-year mandatory minimum term.” (2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Caraballo was serving life sentences on counts one and two, each subject to a twenty-five-year mandatory minimum term. The sentencing documents did not state that he was eligible for parole after completing that mandatory minimum term.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Caraballo moved under rule 3.800(a), arguing that his life sentences on counts one and two were illegal because they did not reflect his parole eligibility. The circuit court denied the motion. On appeal, the State conceded error, and the Sixth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded with instructions to correct both sentences.

DISPOSITION

reversed_and_remanded

REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The trial court must amend the life sentence on count one and the life sentence on count two to state that Caraballo is eligible for parole after completing the twenty-five-year mandatory minimum term. Caraballo's presence is not required for these ministerial corrections.

OPINION

Angel Daniel Caraballo v. State of Florida

PER CURIAM.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

STATE OF FLORIDA

Case No. 6D2024-0099 Lower Tribunal No. 2007-CF-004511

ANGEL DANIEL CARABALLO,

Appellant, v.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee. _____ Appeal pursuant to Fla. R. App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Osceola County. Tanya Davis Wilson, Judge. May 1, 2026 PER CURIAM. Angel Daniel Caraballo appeals the trial court's order denying his rule 3.800(a) motion and argues that his sentences on counts one and two are illegal because both fail to reflect his parole eligibility. The State concedes error. We agree. Therefore, we reverse the trial court's order denying Caraballo's motion and remand for the trial court to amend both the life sentence on count one and the life sentence on count two to state that Caraballo is eligible for parole after completing the 25-year mandatory minimum term. Caraballo's presence is not required for those ministerial corrections. REVERSED and REMANDED with instructions. WOZNIAK, WHITE and GANNAM, JJ., concur. Angel Daniel Caraballo, Avon Park, pro se. James Uthmeier, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Rebecca Rock McGuigan, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellee.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING
AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF TIMELY FILED

2