

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

Angel Daniel Caraballo v. State of Florida

Caraballo · No. 6D2024-0099 · Decided May 1, 2026

SUMMARY

The Sixth District Court of Appeal of Florida held that Angel Daniel Caraballo's life sentences on counts one and two were illegal because they did not state his parole eligibility. The court reversed the denial of his Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.800(a) motion and remanded for ministerial corrections stating that he is eligible for parole after completing the 25-year mandatory minimum term.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF FLORIDA
_____ Case No. 6D2024-0099 Lower Tribunal No. 2007-CF-
004511 _____ ANGEL DANIEL CARABALLO, Appellant, v.
STATE OF FLORIDA, Appellee. _____ Appeal pursuant to Fla. R.
App. P. 9.141(b)(2) from the Circuit Court for Osceola County. Tanya Davis Wilson, Judge.

May 1, 2026 PER CURIAM. Angel Daniel Caraballo appeals the trial court's order denying his rule 3.800(a) motion and argues that his sentences on counts one and two are illegal because both fail to reflect his parole eligibility.

The State concedes error. We agree. Therefore, we reverse the trial court's order denying Caraballo's motion and remand for the trial court to amend both the life sentence on count one and the life sentence on count two to state that Caraballo is eligible for parole after completing the 25-year mandatory minimum term. Caraballo's presence is not required for those ministerial corrections.

REVERSED and REMANDED with instructions. WOZNIAK, WHITE and GANNAM, JJ., concur. Angel Daniel Caraballo, Avon Park, pro se. James Uthmeier, Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Rebecca Rock McGuigan, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellee. NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF TIMELY FILED 2