

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

Yang v. Spring Oaks Capital, LLC

No. 1:24-cv-00450-KES-SAB, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (March 27, 2025)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California orders the Clerk of Court to close the case and adjust the docket to reflect the parties’ stipulated voluntary dismissal with prejudice. The dismissal was entered under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), pursuant to the parties’ stipulation.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                               |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Stanley A. Boone                                                                                                                                                                  |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                               |
| DECIDED               | March 27, 2025                                                                                                                                                                    |
| DOCKET                | 1:24-cv-00450-KES-SAB                                                                                                                                                             |
| DISPOSITION           | dismissed                                                                                                                                                                         |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The parties filed a stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice, and the court ordered the clerk to close the case and adjust the docket to reflect the voluntary dismissal. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | Unknown                                                                                                                                                                           |

TOPICS

civil procedure pleadings

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure debt collection

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties' stipulation was sufficient to terminate the action with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

2. Whether the clerk should close the case and adjust the docket to reflect the voluntary dismissal.

## HOLDINGS

1. The parties' stipulation dismissed and terminated the entire action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).
2. Upon termination of the action through the parties' stipulation, the clerk was required to close the case and adjust the docket to reflect the voluntary dismissal.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The parties jointly filed a stipulation on March 26, 2025, seeking dismissal of the action with prejudice. The court noted that the filing was styled on the docket as a notice of voluntary dismissal but was in fact a stipulation. No substantive facts concerning the underlying Fair Debt Collection Practices Act dispute were addressed.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the Eastern District of California. On March 26, 2025, the parties filed a stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice. The court determined that the action was terminated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) and the stipulation, and directed the clerk to close the case.

## DISPOSITION

dismissed

## CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

## OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT g EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 RICKY YANG, Case No. 1:24-cv-00450-KES-SAB 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING CLERK  
OF COURT 11 TO CLOSE CASE AND ADJUST DOCKET V. TO REFLECT VOLUNTARY  
DISMISSAL 12 SPRING OAKS CAPITAL, LLC, et al., (ECF No. 13) 13 Defendants. 14 15 On  
March 26, 2025, the parties filed a stipulation dismissing this action with prejudice.!

16 | In light of the stipulation, this entire action has been terminated, Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)  
(A)GD); 17 | Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed  
pursuant 18 | to the terms of the stipulation. 19 Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is HEREBY  
ORDERED to CLOSE the file in this 20 | case and adjust the docket to reflect voluntary dismissal  
of this action pursuant to Rule ☐☐ (a).

21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Af 93 | Dated: \_March 27, 2025; STANLEY A. BOONE 24 United  
States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28 | | While stylized on the docket as a notice of voluntary

dismissal, the parties in fact filed a stipulation. (ECF No. 13.)

---

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/yang-v-spring-oaks-capital-llc-gipf6xy0>