

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OHIO, WESTERN DIVISION**

Julie Melissa Wuertz v. Commissioner of Social Security

Wuertz · No. 3:25-cv-742 · Decided April 8, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio adopted a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after no party filed timely objections. The court reversed the Commissioner of Social Security's denial of supplemental security income and remanded the case to the Commissioner and administrative law judge under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION Julie Melissa Wuertz, Case No. 3:25-cv-742 Plaintiff, v. ORDER AND
JUDGEMENT ENTRY Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant. On March 23, 2026, United
States Magistrate Judge Darrell A. Clay issued a Report and Recommendation ("R & R")
recommending I reverse the Commissioner of Social Security's decision denying Plaintiff's
application for supplemental security income ("SSI") and remand the case to the Commissioner
and the ALJ under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

(Doc. No. 15). In the R & R, he notified the parties: Within 14 days after being served with a copy
of this Report and Recommendation, a party may serve and file specific written objections to the
proposed findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); see
also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Civ. R. 72.3(b).... Failure to file objections within the specified
time may result in the forfeiture or waiver of the right to raise the issue on appeal, either to the
district judge or in a subsequent appeal to the United States Court of Appeals, depending on how
or whether the party responds to the Report and Recommendation.

Berkshire v. Dahl, 928 F.3d 520, 530 (6th Cir. 2019). (Id. at 37). More than fourteen days passed,
and no party filed any objection to the R & R. I consider their failure to file objections to
"constitute a waiver of subsequent review," Local R. 72.3(b), and adopt Judge Clay's R & R in its
entirety as the final Order of this Court. (Doc. No. 15).

The Commissioner's decision is reversed, and the case is remanded to the Commissioner and the
ALJ under Sentence Four of § 405(g). So Ordered. s/ Jeffrey J. Helmick United States District
Judge

