

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Brenda Pandos v. John and Jane Does

Pandos · No. 2:23-cv-2111-DJC-JDP (PS) · Decided February 28, 2025

OPINION

(PS) Pandos v. Unknown

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 BRENDA PANDOS, Case No. 2:23-cv-2111-DJC-JDP (PS) 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 JOHN AND JANE DOES,

15 Defendants. 16

17 On January 21, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and 18 recommendations herein
which were served on the parties and which contained 19 notice that any objections to the findings
and recommendations were to be filed 20 within fourteen days. No objections were filed. 21 The
Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United* 22 *States*, 602 F.2d 207,
208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge’s conclusions of law 23 are reviewed de novo. See
Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) 24 (“[D]eterminations of law by the
magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the 25 district court and [the appellate] court . . .
.”). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds 26 the findings and recommendations to be
supported by the record and by the proper 27 analysis. 28 1 2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED that: 3 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed January 21, 2025, are 4 |
ADOPTED; 5 2. The complaint, ECF No. 1, is STRICKEN; 6 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to
return the complaint to plaintiff, see E.D. Cal. 7 | L.R. 141(e)(1), and to close the case. 8 9 IT IS
SO ORDERED. 10 | Dated: _February 27, 2025 “Daniel A CoD tto— Hon. Daniel alabretta
" UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28