

SUPREME COURT OF KANSAS

In re Mason

No. 119,012 · No. No. 119,012 · Decided November 21, 2025

SUMMARY

The Kansas Supreme Court granted Jeffery A. Mason's motion for discharge from three years of supervised probation imposed when his Kansas law license was reinstated. Based on affidavits and the Office of the Disciplinary Administrator's response confirming compliance, the court fully discharged Mason from probation, assessed any remaining costs to him, and closed the disciplinary proceeding.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Kansas
JURISDICTION	Supreme Court of Kansas
DECIDED	November 21, 2025
DOCKET	No. 119,012
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Attorney disciplinary proceeding in which the respondent moved for discharge from supervised probation after completing the ordered probationary term.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published order

TOPICS

administrative law agency adjudication

PRACTICE AREAS

legal ethics and professional responsibility attorney discipline

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether Mason should be discharged from supervised probation after completing the three-year probationary period and complying with its terms and conditions.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The court notes the ODA's response, grants Mason's motion, and fully discharges him from probation.”

“Accordingly, this disciplinary proceeding is closed.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On October 24, 2022, the court reinstated Mason's Kansas law license after an indefinite suspension and ordered him to serve three years of supervised probation. After the three-year period elapsed, Mason submitted his affidavit and an affidavit from his supervising attorney describing his compliance with the probation terms and conditions. The Office of the Disciplinary Administrator confirmed his compliance and eligibility for discharge and stated that it had no objection.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The court reinstated Jeffery A. Mason's Kansas law license after an indefinite suspension and placed him on three years of supervised probation. After three years passed, Mason moved for discharge, the Office of the Disciplinary Administrator reported that he had complied with all probation terms and did not object, and the Supreme Court granted the motion.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- In re Mason, 316 Kan. 552, 553, 518 P.3d 814 (2022)