

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson

Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, 2020 Ohio 1297 (Ohio 2020) · No. No. 2015-1004 · Decided April 3, 2020

SUMMARY

Attorney Jesse Jackson Jr., suspended for two years in 2016, was reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio after demonstrating substantial compliance with the suspension order and Gov.Bar R. V(24). The court imposed a two-year period of monitored probation under Gov.Bar R. V(21), requiring a monitor and a compliance report before probation termination. This case addresses reinstatement after disciplinary suspension, monitored probation conditions, and procedural requirements under Ohio's bar rules.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Ohio
WRITING FOR THE COURT	O'Connor, C.J.; Kennedy, J.; French, J.; Fischer, J.; DeWine, J.; Donnelly, J.; Stewart, J.
JURISDICTION	Ohio
DECIDED	April 3, 2020
DOCKET	No. 2015-1004
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Application for reinstatement after suspension
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published

TOPICS

sanctions

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Legal Ethics and Discipline

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether respondent should be reinstated to the practice of law after serving a two-year suspension.

HOLDINGS

1. Respondent is reinstated to the practice of law subject to a two-year period of monitored probation.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.” (¶ 3)

“It is further ordered that in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(21) and consistent with the opinion rendered herein on April 21, 2016, respondent shall serve a two-year period of monitored probation.” (¶ 3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Respondent Jesse Jackson Jr. was suspended from the practice of law for two years by the Supreme Court of Ohio on April 21, 2016. He subsequently filed an application for reinstatement. The court found that respondent had substantially complied with the suspension order and the applicable bar rules.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Respondent was suspended from the practice of law for two years by order of April 21, 2016 (Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, 146 Ohio St.3d 341, 2016-Ohio-1599, 56 N.E.3d 936). Respondent subsequently applied for reinstatement.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, 146 Ohio St.3d 341, 2016-Ohio-1599, 56 N.E.3d 936

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

[Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2018-Ohio-1297.] DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. JACKSON. [Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2020-Ohio-1297.] (No. 2015-1004—Submitted April 1, 2020—Decided April 3, 2020.) ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT. _____ {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Jesse Jackson Jr., Attorney Registration No. 0086184, last known business address in West Chester, Ohio. {¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of April 21, 2016, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of two years, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24). {¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio. It is further ordered that in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(21) and consistent with the opinion rendered herein on April 21, 2016, respondent shall serve a two-

year period of monitored probation. {¶ 4} It is further ordered that on or before 30 days from the date of this order relator shall file with the clerk of this court the name of the attorney who will serve as respondent's monitor, in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(21)(A)(3).

It is further ordered that at the end of respondent's probationary period, relator shall file with the clerk of this court a report indicating whether respondent, during the probationary period, complied with the terms of the probation. {¶ 5} It is further ordered that at the end of the probationary period respondent may apply for termination of probation as provided in Gov.Bar R. V(21).

It is further ordered that respondent's probation shall not be terminated until SUPREME COURT OF OHIO (1) respondent files an application for termination of probation in compliance with Gov.Bar R. V(21)(D), (2) respondent complies with this and all other orders issued by this court, (3) respondent complies with the Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, (4) relator files with the clerk of this court a report indicating that respondent has complied with the terms of the probation, and (5) this court orders that the probation be terminated. {¶ 6} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(2). {¶ 7} For earlier case, see Disciplinary Counsel v. Jackson, 146 Ohio St.3d 341, 2016-Ohio-1599, 56 N.E.3d 936.

O'CONNOR, C.J., and KENNEDY, FRENCH, FISCHER, DEWINE, DONNELLY, and STEWART, JJ., concur. _____ 2