

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

**Joshua David Packard v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., Longs Drug Stores
California, LLC, and Does 1 to 100, Inclusive**

Packard v. CVS Pharmacy · No. 2:24-cv-02286-DJC-CKD · Decided February 28, 2025

OPINION

Packard v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 BbsRchEoTeTl@ SlCjdHfaO.cEoLm, STATE BAR NO. 224212

2 ARASH AALEM, STATE BAR NO. 350739

aaalem@ljdfa.com

3 LA FOLLETTE, JOHNSON,

DeHAAS, FESLER & AMES

4 655 University Avenue, Suite 119 Sacramento, California 95825-6746 5 Telephone (916) 563-
3100 • Facsimile (916) 565-3704 6 Attorneys for Defendant

CVS PHARMACY, INC., and LONGS DRUG STORES

7 CALIFORNIA, LLC

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA- SACRAMENTO DIVISION

10

11 JOSHUA DAVID PACKARD, CASE NO.: 2:24-cv-02286-DJC-CKD

[Removal from Superior Court of California, 12 Plaintiff, Nevada, Case No. CU0001431] 13 vs.
[PROPOSED ORDER]

14 CVS PHARMACY, INC., LONGS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
DRUG STORES CALIFORNIA, LLC,

15 and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, WITH MODIFICATIONS BY THE COURT 16 Defendants.
TRIAL DATE: None Set

17 ACTION FILED: 06/04/24

18 19 20 The Court has reviewed the parties' stipulated protective order. (ECF No. 13.) The 21
stipulation comports with the relevant authorities and the court's applicable local rule. See L.R. 22
141.1. The Court APPROVES the protective order, subject to the following clarification. The 23
Court's Local Rules indicate that once an action is closed, it "will not retain jurisdiction over 24
enforcement of the terms of any protective order filed in that action." L.R. 141.1(f); see also, e.g.,
25 MD Helicopters, Inc. v. Aerometals, Inc., 2017 WL 495778 (E.D. Cal., Feb. 03, 2017) (noting
26 that courts in the district generally do not retain jurisdiction for disputes concerning protective

27 orders after closure of the case). Thus, the Court will not retain jurisdiction over this protective
28 order once the case is closed. 1 Further, this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle a party
to file confidential 2 || information under seal. Local Rule 141 sets forth the procedures that must
be followed and the 3 || standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the
Court to file material under 4 || seal. If a party's request to file confidential material under seal is
denied by the Court, then the 5 || party may file the information in the public record unless
otherwise instructed by the Court. 6 || Dated: February 28, 2025 / aie } Kt | Ld a a

CAROLYN K.DELANEY SS

8 2286.24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -2-