

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Forrest Kendrid v. Marzan

Kendrid v. Marzan · No. 2:22-cv-02301-TLN-CSK · Decided May 29, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 FORREST KENDRID, No. 2:22-cv-02301-TLN-CSK 12 Plaintiff, 13 v.
ORDER 14 MARZAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Forrest Kendrid (“Plaintiff”), a state
prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil 18 rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States 19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On April 10, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and
recommendations herein which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all
parties that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within
fourteen days.

(ECF No. 43.) Neither 23 party filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The
Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 25 F.2d 207,
208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See *Britt*
v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

Having reviewed 27 the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by
the record and by 28 the magistrate judge’s analysis. 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 43) are ADOPTED IN FULL; 3 2. This
action is dismissed without prejudice based on plaintiff’s failure to prosecute; and 4 3.

The Clerk of the Court is ordered to close the case. 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Date: May 28, 2025
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28