

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Sonni Echeverria-Corzan v. Fox Corporation, et al.

Echeverria-Corzan · No. 25-cv-04253-AMO · Decided November 7, 2025

OPINION

Echeverria-Corzan

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 SONNI ECHEVERRIA-CORZAN, Case No. 25-cv-04253-AMO 8 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO

9 v. DISMISS

10 FOX CORPORATION, et al., Re: Dkt. No. 11 Defendants. 11 12 13 Defendants Fox
Corporation's and Fox News Network, LLC's motion to dismiss came on 14 for hearing before
this Court on November 6, 2025. Given the similarities between this case and 15 others cited
repeatedly in the parties' papers, including *Mirmalek v. Los Angeles Times Commc'ns* 16 LLC,
No. 24-CV-01797-CRB, 2024 WL 5102709 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2024), *Shah v. Fandom, Inc.*,
754 F. Supp. 3d 924, 929 (N.D. Cal. 2024), and *Greenley v. Kochava, Inc.*, 684 F. Supp. 3d 18
1024, 1050 (S.D. Cal. 2023), the Court finds Plaintiff's complaint plausibly states a claim under
19 California Invasion of Privacy Act, Cal. Penal Code §§ 630 et seq. ("CIPA"). For the same 20
reasons that those earlier courts found that challenged online tracking software could violate 21
CIPA, and the similarity of the technology at issue here, there are no distinguishing aspects that 22
warrant dismissal here. Accepting the allegations as pleaded, the Court accordingly DENIES the
23 motion to dismiss for the reasons stated at the hearing. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 1 The Court also
held an initial case management conference on November 6, 2025. The 2 || Court ORDERS the
parties to submit a supplemental case management statement by no later than
3 November 20, 2025.

4 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 Dated: November 7, 2025 7 -

ARACELI MARTINEZ-OLGUIN

9 United States District Judge 10 11 12 13 © 15 16 = 17 Z 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28