

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Michael Caine Redifer, Sr. v. T. Vaught, Warden, and FCI Sandstone

Redifer · No. 25-cv-933 (ECT/LIB) · Decided February 10, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota adopted a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation after finding no clear error and noting that no objections were filed. The court denied Michael Caine Redifer, Sr.'s petition for a writ of habeas corpus and dismissed the matter without prejudice.

OPINION

UNITDEISDT SRTIACTT EOSF D MISINTRNIECSTO CTOAU RT Michael Caine Redifer, Sr., File No. 25-cv-933 (ECT/LIB) Petitioner, v. ORDER T. Vaught, Warden, and FCI Sandstone, Respondents. Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois issued an Order and Report and Recommendation on December 29, 2025. ECF No. 33. No party has objected to that Report and Recommendation,1 and it is therefore reviewed for clear error.

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *Grinder v. Gammon*, 73 F.3d 793, 795 (8th Cir. 1996) (per curiam). Finding no clear error, and based on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, IT IS ORDERED THAT: 1. The Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 33] is ACCEPTED in full. 2. Michael Caine Redifer, Sr.'s petition for a writ of habeas corpus [ECF No. 1] is DENIED. 3.

This matter is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. Dated: February 10, 2026 s/ Eric C. Tostrud Eric C. Tostrud United States District Court 1 Petitioner Michael Caine Redifer, Sr. sought an extension of time to file objections to the Order and Report and Recommendation. ECF No. 34. He was given until February 3, 2026, to file any objections.

ECF No. 35. That deadline has passed, and no objections