

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

**Dylan Joshua Robinson v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social
Security**

Robinson v. Bisignano · No. 1:24-cv-00828-BAM · Decided October 22, 2025

OPINION

Dylan Joshua Robinson v. Frank Bisignano, Commissioner of Social Security

PER CURIAM.

1 Jonathan O. Peña, Esq. 2 CA Bar ID No.: 278044 Peña & Bromberg, PLC 3 3467 W. Shaw Ave.,
Ste 100 4 Fresno, CA 93711 Telephone: 559-439-9700 5 Facsimile: 559-439-9723 6 Email:
info@jonathanpena.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Dylan Joshua Robinson 7 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRESNO DIVISION

11 12 Dylan Joshua Robinson, Case No. 1:24-cv-00828-BAM 13 Plaintiff, STIPULATION FOR
THE AWARD

14 AND PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY

v. FEES AND EXPENSES PURSUANT

15 TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO

16 Frank Bisignano¹, COMMISSIONER JUSTICE ACT; ORDER
OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

17 18 Defendant. 19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their 20
undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, that Plaintiff be awarded 21 attorney
fees and expenses in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND AND 00/100 22 (\$5,000.00) under the
Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), and 23 costs in the amount of ZERO
dollars (\$0.00) under 28 U.S.C. §1920. This amount 24 25 1 Frank Bisignano became the
Commissioner of Social Security on May 7, 2025. 26 Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules
of Civil Procedure, Frank Bisignano should be substituted for Leland Dudek as the defendant in
this suit. No further action need be 27 taken to continue this suit by reason of the last sentence of
section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). 1 represents compensation for all
legal services rendered on behalf of Plaintiff by counsel 2 in connection with this civil action, in
accordance with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920, 2412(d). 3 Plaintiff was the prevailing party in this matter and
Plaintiff is an individual 4 whose net worth does not exceed \$2,000,000 at the time the civil action
was filed. 5 After the Court issues an order for EAJA fees to Plaintiff, the government will 6
consider the matter of Plaintiff's assignment of EAJA fees to Plaintiff's attorney. 7 Under Astrue

v. Ratliff, 130 S. Ct. 2521, 2528-29 (2010), EAJA fees awarded by this Court belong to the Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program (31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B) (2006)). Any EAJA fees should therefore be awarded to Plaintiff and not to Plaintiff's attorney. If, after receiving the Court's EAJA fee order, the Commissioner (1) determines that Plaintiff has assigned his right to EAJA fees to his attorney; (2) determines that Plaintiff does not owe a debt that is subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program, and (3) agrees to waive the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, then the EAJA fees will be made payable to Plaintiff's attorney, JONATHAN O. PEÑA-MANCINAS at the firm, PEÑA & BROMBERG, PC. However, if there is a debt owed under the Treasury Offset Program, the Commissioner cannot agree to waive the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, and the remaining EAJA fees after offset will be paid by a check or electronic fund transfer (EFT) made out to Plaintiff, but delivered to Plaintiff's attorney, JONATHAN O. PEÑA-MANCINAS at the firm, PEÑA & BROMBERG, PC. This stipulation constitutes a compromise settlement of Plaintiff's request for EAJA attorney fees, and does not constitute an admission of liability on the part of Defendant under the EAJA or otherwise. Payment of the agreed amount shall constitute a complete release from, and bar to, any and all claims that Plaintiff and/or Counsel including Counsel's firm may have relating to EAJA attorney fees in connection with this action. The parties further agree that the EAJA award is without prejudice to the right of Plaintiff's attorney to seek attorney fees pursuant to Social Security Act § 206(b), 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), subject to the offset provisions of the EAJA. See U.S.C. § 2412(c)(1) (2006). Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 22, 2025 /s/ Jonathan O. Peña JONATHAN O. PEÑA

Attorney for Plaintiff Dated: October 22, 2025 ERIC GRANT Acting United States Attorney

MATHEW W. PILE

Head of Program Litigation Law & Policy Social Security Administration By: *_Noah Schabacker Noah Schabacker Special Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorneys for Defendant (*Permission to use electronic signature obtained via email on October 22, 2025).

ORDER

Based upon the parties' Stipulation for the Award and Payment of Equal Access to Justice Act Fees and Expenses (the "Stipulation"), IT IS ORDERED that fees and expenses in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND AND 00/100 (\$5,000.00) as authorized by the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), be awarded subject to the terms of the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED. _

Dated: _ October 22, 2025 4A :

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -A-

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/dylan-joshua-robinson-v-frank-bisignano-commissioner-of-hhpq371c>