

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Silvia Soledad Moreira, et al. v. Director, U.S. Citizenship and
Immigration Services

Moreira · No. 1:25-cv-00101-SKO · Decided March 28, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California directs the Clerk of Court to close the case after the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action. The action was dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Sheila K. Oberto
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	March 28, 2025
DOCKET	1:25-cv-00101-SKO
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action, and the court ordered the Clerk of Court to close the case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished district court order; precedential status not stated

TOPICS

civil procedure immigration

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure immigration

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties' joint stipulation dismissed the action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).
- Whether the Clerk of Court should be directed to close the case.

HOLDINGS

- 1. The parties' joint stipulation dismissed and terminated the action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), with prejudice.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“In light of the parties’ stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed with prejudice.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive factual background concerning the underlying immigration dispute. The parties jointly stipulated to dismissal of the action.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. On March 27, 2025, the parties filed a joint stipulation for dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). The court determined that the action had terminated and had been dismissed with prejudice, and directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

OPINION

Moreira v. Higgins

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 SILVIA SOLEDAD MOREIRA, et al., No. 1:25-cv-00101-SKO 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF

COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE

14 DIRECTOR, U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND

IMMIGRATION SERVICES, (Doc. 30)

15 Defendant. 16

17 On March 27, 2025, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action. (Doc. 12.) In 18 light of the parties’ stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii);

19 Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed with 20
prejudice. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. 21 22 IT IS SO
ORDERED. 23 24 Dated: March 27, 2025 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto .

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 26 27 28