

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Peoples v. Valley Children’s Hospital

Peoples · No. 1:19-cv-00272-JLT-SKO · Decided June 30, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ordered the Clerk of Court to close the case after the parties jointly stipulated to dismissal with prejudice. The court concluded that the action was terminated under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Sheila K. Oberto
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	June 30, 2025
DOCKET	1:19-cv-00272-JLT-SKO
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice, and the court directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties’ joint stipulation required dismissal of the action with prejudice and closure of the case.

HOLDINGS

1. A joint stipulation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) terminated the action, and the action was dismissed with prejudice.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“In light of the parties’ stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been dismissed with prejudice.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. It states only that the parties jointly stipulated to dismissal of the action with prejudice.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On June 25, 2025, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice. The court recognized the stipulated dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), terminated the action, and directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 YVONNE PEOPLES, et al., No. 1:19-cv-00272-JLT-SKO 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE 12 v. (Doc. 98) 13 VALLEY CHILDREN’S HOSPITAL, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 18 On June 25, 2025, the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action with prejudice.

19 (Doc. 98.) In light of the parties’ stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 20 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been 21 dismissed with prejudice.

Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 Dated: June 30, 2025 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 25 26 27 28