

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States v. Pridgen

Pridgen · No. 20cr00029 (CKK-ZMF); Criminal No. 2020-0029 · Decided February 24, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Columbia adopted a magistrate judge’s Report and Recommendation concerning Cian Pridgen’s violation of supervised-release conditions. The court sentenced Pridgen to time served, imposed no further supervision, terminated the referral, and authorized closure of the case.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Colleen Kollar-Kotelly
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
DECIDED	February 24, 2026
DOCKET	20cr00029 (CKK-ZMF); Criminal No. 2020-0029
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The case was referred to a magistrate judge for a hearing concerning Defendant's violation of supervised-release conditions and for a Report and Recommendation. The district court reviewed and adopted the agreed Report and Recommendation.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published

TOPICS

- probation
- sentencing
- criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- criminal law
- supervised release

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the district court should adopt the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation concerning Defendant's supervised-release violation.
- What disposition should be imposed for the supervised-release violation.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court adopted the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation because it was agreed to by the Probation Office, Defendant, and the Government.
2. The court found that Defendant violated the conditions of supervised release, sentenced him to time served, and imposed no additional period of supervision.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Because Defendant is being sentenced to time served with no additional period of supervision imposed, this case may be closed by the Clerk’s Office, and the referral to Magistrate Judge Faruqui may be deemed terminated.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Cian Pridgen was serving a period of supervised release that had been set to expire on January 3, 2025. The magistrate judge considered that Pridgen did not appear to pose a danger to the community, had participated in numerous treatment programs, and had accepted responsibility for his actions.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Magistrate Judge Zia M. Faruqui conducted multiple status hearings and issued a February 20, 2026 Report and Recommendation finding that Cian Pridgen violated his conditions of supervised release and recommending a sentence of time served with no further supervision. The Probation Office, Defendant, and the Government agreed to the recommendation, which the district court adopted on February 24, 2026.

DISPOSITION

other

OPINION

United States v. Pridgen

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
v. Case No. 20cr00029 (CKK-ZMF)

CIAN PRIDGEN,
Defendant.

ORDER

(February 24, 2026) This case was referred to Magistrate Judge Zia M. Faruqui for a hearing on the violation of Defendant Cian Pridgen’s supervised release and a Report and Recommendation. See ECF No.

31. The Court notes that, prior to issuing his February 20, 2026 Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Faruqui held multiple status hearings involving Mr. Pridgen, his counsel, the Government, and the Probation Office. See docket entries for August 27, 2025; September 19, 2025; October 29, 2025; December 5, 2025; December 10, 2025; January 2, 2026; and January 5, 2026. In his Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Faruqui indicates that: Given Mr. Pridgen's period of supervision was set to expire on January 3, 2025, he does not appear to pose a danger to the community, he participated in numerous treatment programs, he took responsibility for his actions, and based on the joint recommendation of the parties and the Probation Office: the undersigned recommends a finding that Mr. Pridgen violated his conditions of release, sentence him to a period of time served, and not impose any further period of supervision. Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 40, at 4-5.1 Accordingly, as the recommendation in that 1 Magistrate Judge Faruqui notes that "[p]er the Probation Office, no hearing is needed to adopt this Recommendation, as no additional supervision is ordered." Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 40, at 5 n.1. 1 Report and Recommendation was agreed to by the Probation Office, Defendant, and the Government, it is this 24th day of February 2026, ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is hereby ADOPTED. Because Defendant is being sentenced to time served with no additional period of supervision imposed, this case may be closed by the Clerk's Office, and the referral to Magistrate Judge Faruqui may be deemed terminated.

_____/s/_____

COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE