

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Williams v. CDCR

Williams · No. 20-cv-04348-NW · Decided May 23, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California dismissed the remaining claim without prejudice after plaintiff James David Williams failed to file an amended complaint, update his address, or otherwise communicate with the Court within the deadline. The dismissal relied on authority concerning failure to amend after dismissal with leave to amend and failure of a pro se prisoner to notify the court of a change of address.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
JAMES DAVID WILLIAMS, Case No. 20-cv-04348-NW 8 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 9
v. 10 CDCR, II Defendant. 12 13 On April 16, 2025, the Court granted Defendant's motion to
dismiss. ECF No. 34. 14 || Plaintiff James David Williams, proceeding pro se, was granted leave
to amend his complaint to 3 15 || address several deficiencies and update his address with the
Court within 28 days.

Id. The a 16 || deadline passed and Williams has not filed an amended complaint, updated his
address with the 3 17 || Court, or otherwise corresponded with the Court. Accordingly, the
remaining claim is 18 || DISMISSED without prejudice. See *WMX Technologies v. Miller*, 104
F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 19 1997) (holding that further action by district court is necessary where
plaintiff fails to amend after 20 dismissal with leave to amend); *Civ.*

L.R. 3-11; *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1441 (9th Cir. 21 1988) (affirming dismissal of pro se
prisoner's complaint for failing to notify court of his change 22 of address). 23 IT IS SO
ORDERED. 24 Dated: May 23, 2025 25 Wich hid Noël Wise 26 United States District Judge 27
28