

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Williams v. CDCR

Williams · No. 20-cv-04348-NW · Decided May 23, 2025

OPINION

Williams v. Koenig

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 JAMES DAVID WILLIAMS, Case No. 20-cv-04348-NW 8 Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

9 v.

10 CDCR,

11 Defendant. 12 13 On April 16, 2025, the Court granted Defendant's motion to dismiss. ECF No.
34. 14 || Plaintiff James David Williams, proceeding pro se, was granted leave to amend his
complaint to 3 15 || address several deficiencies and update his address with the Court within 28
days. Id. The a 16 || deadline passed and Williams has not filed an amended complaint, updated his
address with the 3 17 || Court, or otherwise corresponded with the Court. Accordingly, the
remaining claim is 18 || DISMISSED without prejudice. See WMX Technologies v. Miller, 104
F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 19 1997) (holding that further action by district court is necessary where
plaintiff fails to amend after 20 dismissal with leave to amend); Civ. L.R. 3-11; Carey v. King, 856
F.2d 1439, 1441 (9th Cir. 21 1988) (affirming dismissal of pro se prisoner's complaint for failing
to notify court of his change 22 of address). 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: May 23, 2025 25
Wich hid Noël Wise 26 United States District Judge 27 28