

**COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT
AMARILLO**

In re Rickye Bernard Henderson, Sr.

No. 07-26-00084-CV · No. No. 07-26-00084-CV · Decided February 10, 2026

OPINION

In Re Rickye Bernard Henderson, Sr., Relator v. the State of Texas

PER CURIAM.

In The Court of Appeals Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo No. 07-26-00084-CV

IN RE RICKYE BERNARD HENDERSON, SR., RELATOR

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

February 10, 2026

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before PARKER, C.J., and DOSS and YARBROUGH, JJ. Rickye Bernard Henderson, Sr., Relator, filed documents with this Court seeking a writ of mandamus directing the judge of the 353rd District Court of Travis County to vacate certain orders and judgments issued against him. As we are without authority to issue a mandamus against the respondent, we dismiss the petition for want of jurisdiction. A court of appeals may issue writs of mandamus against a judge of a district or county court in its geographic district and may issue writs necessary to enforce its jurisdiction. TEX. GOV'T. CODE § 22.221(a), (b). Here, Henderson has directed his mandamus petition against a judge presiding over a district court which is not located within the geographic district for the Seventh Court of Appeals. See TEX. GOV'T. CODE § 22.201 (d) (designating Travis County within the Third Court of Appeals District), (h) (identifying the counties composing the Seventh Court of Appeals District). Accordingly, we are without authority to issue a writ of mandamus against a judge of the 353rd District Court unless the writ is necessary to enforce our jurisdiction. See TEX. GOV'T. CODE § 22.221(a). Henderson has not demonstrated that our jurisdiction is implicated here. Therefore, we dismiss Henderson's petition for writ of mandamus for want of jurisdiction. Per Curiam 2