

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

James Martin Baca v. James Robertson

Baca · No. 2:22-cv-1481 WBS CSK P · Decided May 13, 2025

OPINION

(HC) Baca v. Robertson

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 JAMES MARTIN BACA, No. 2:22-cv-1481 WBS CSK P

12 Petitioner, 13 v. ORDER

14 JAMES ROBERTSON,

15 Respondent. 16

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On April 07, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). The court has 27 reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and 28 by the magistrate judge's analysis. 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 20) are adopted in full; 3 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and 4 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. 5 | § 2253. 6 | Dated: May 12, 2025
ah. A. be—~

7 WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 | Maca22cv1481.801.he 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28