

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
OHIO, WESTERN DIVISION**

David Goodykoontz v. State of Ohio

Goodykoontz · No. 1:24-cv-145 · Decided December 1, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation after no timely objections were filed. The court grants the State of Ohio's motion for summary judgment and denies David Goodykoontz's motion for summary judgment.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION David Goodykoontz, Plaintiff, v. Case No. 1:24cv145 State of Ohio, Defendant. ORDER This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") filed by the Magistrate Judge on November 3, 2025 (Doc. 52). Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties may forfeit rights on appeal if they failed to file objections to the R&R in a timely manner.

United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). No objections to the Magistrate Judge's R&R (Doc. 52) have been filed and the time to do so has expired. Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the R&R (Doc. 52) of the Magistrate Judge is hereby ADOPTED. Consistent with the recommendation by the Magistrate Judge, Defendant's motion for summary judgment (Doc.

38) is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 29) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. s/Michael R. Barrett Michael R. Barrett, Judge United States District Court 1