

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

Goodwin v. Butte County

No. 2:25-cv-0320 TLN CKD P, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (July 14, 2025)

SUMMARY

The document contains findings and recommendations by a United States Magistrate Judge in Goodwin v. Butte County. The court recommends dismissal because plaintiff failed to keep the court informed of her current address, citing Eastern District of California Local Rules 182(f) and 110, and advises her of the fourteen-day objection period.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Carolyn K. Delaney                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| JURISDICTION          | United States District Court for the Eastern District of California                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| DECIDED               | July 14, 2025                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| DOCKET                | 2:25-cv-0320 TLN CKD P                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| DISPOSITION           | other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | Findings and recommendations by a magistrate judge recommending dismissal of a pro se prisoner civil-rights action because mail served at plaintiff's address of record was returned and plaintiff allegedly failed to notify the court of an address change. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | nonprecedential                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedureprisoner civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether dismissal should be recommended because plaintiff failed to keep the court apprised of a current address as required by Local Rule 182(f).

**HOLDINGS**

1. The magistrate judge recommended that the action be dismissed because plaintiff failed to keep the court apprised of a current address after mail sent to the address of record was returned.

**KEY QUOTATIONS**

“Accordingly, *IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED* that this action be dismissed for plaintiff’s failure to keep the court apprised of his current address.” (1)

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

A court order served at Michelle Renee Goodwin's address of record was returned by the postal service. The court concluded that plaintiff, who was appearing in propria persona, had failed to comply with the local rule requiring notice of an address change.

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

A recent court order mailed to plaintiff's address of record was returned by the postal service. The magistrate judge recommended dismissal under Local Rules 182(f) and 110 and referred the findings and recommendations to the assigned district judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), allowing fourteen days for objections.

**DISPOSITION**

other

**CASES CITED**

- Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991)

**OPINION**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA 10 11 MICHELLE RENEE GOODWIN, No. 2:25-cv-0320 TLN CKD P 12  
Plaintiff, 13 v. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 BUTTE COUNTY, et al., 15  
Defendants. 16 17 A recent court order was served on plaintiff’s address of record and returned by  
the postal 18 service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which  
requires that 19 a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.  
20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for 21 plaintiff’s  
failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 22 110. 23  
These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 24 assigned  
to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 25 after  
being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 26  
with the court.

The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: July 11, 2025 Card ft | / L, — CAROLYNK.DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE go0d0320.33a