

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Efrain Delgadillo v. Veolia North America, Inc., et al.

Delgadillo v. Veolia North America · No. 2:23-cv-05454-FMO-JC · Decided July 3, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California dismissed the action without prejudice after counsel advised the court that the case had settled. The court retained jurisdiction and allowed the parties 30 days to reopen the action or seek an extension if the settlement was not consummated.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Central District of California
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Central District of California
DECIDED	July 3, 2025
DOCKET	2:23-cv-05454-FMO-JC
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The action was dismissed by court order after counsel advised the court that the action had settled.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished

TOPICS

civil procedure contracts remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure contracts remedies

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the settled action should be dismissed without prejudice and subject to reopening if the settlement was not consummated.

HOLDINGS

- The action was dismissed without costs and without prejudice because counsel advised the court that it had been settled.

KEY QUOTATIONS

- “IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the action if settlement is not consummated.”*
- “The court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.”*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Counsel advised the court that the action had settled. The court entered an order dismissing the action without costs and without prejudice, while preserving the parties' ability to seek reopening if the settlement was not consummated.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Counsel advised the district court that the action had been settled. The court dismissed the action without costs and without prejudice, retaining jurisdiction and allowing reopening for good cause if the settlement was not consummated.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962)

OPINION

Efrain Delgadillo v. Veolia North America, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

3 4 5 6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 EFRAIN DELGADILLO CASE NO: 10 2:23-cv-05454-FMO-JC

Plaintiff(s), 11 v.

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

12 VEOLIA NORTH AMERICA, INC. , et al. WITHOUT PREJUDICE

13 14 Defendant(s). 15 16 17 Having been advised by counsel that the above-entitled action has been settled, 18 IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without costs 19 and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the 20 action if settlement is not consummated. The court retains full jurisdiction 21 over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action. Failure 22 to re-open or seek an extension of time to re-open the action by the deadline 23 set forth above shall be deemed as consent by the

parties to dismissal of the 24 action without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 25 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 26 27 Dated The 3rd of July 2025 /s/

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-cal/2025/efrain-delgadillo-v-veolia-north-america-inc-et-al-j1bhv7hc>