

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

MidFirst Bank v. Brandi Berry, et al.

MidFirst Bank v. Berry · No. 2:25-CV-00072 · Decided December 30, 2025

OPINION

Southern District of Texas ENTERED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT December 30, 2028
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Nathan Ochsner, Clerk CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION
MIDFIRST BANK, § Plaintiff,,: CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00072 BRANDI BERRY, ef al.,:
Defendants.: ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION Before the
Court is Magistrate Judge Jason Libby’s Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”).

(D.E. 29). The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the
Magistrate Judge’s M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); General Order No.
2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court
need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge’s M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (Sth Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan
Servicing, L.P., No.4:14-CV-02700, 2015 WL3823141,at*1(S.D. Tex. June 18,2015) (Harmon, J.)
(citation omitted). Havingreviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate
Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is
notclearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its entirety.

(D.E. 29). Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the parties’ Joint Motion for Entry of Second
Amended Agreed Order as to the Interests of Minors G.S. and B.S. (D.E. 28). The Court will enter
the agreed order separately. SO ORDERED. S. MORALES UNITED STATES DISTRICT
JUDGE Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas December 30, 2026