

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Mike Simonetti v. Lindsey Kramer/Hoag, et al.

Simonetti · No. Civil Action No. 26-1210 (MAS) (RLS) · Decided February 12, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of New Jersey denied Mike Simonetti’s motions for a temporary restraining order and to expand the TRO concerning state-court orders affecting real property. The court concluded that the sole specifically named defendant was a private party not subject to liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and that federal interference with the ongoing state proceeding was unwarranted. The court also ordered Plaintiff to show cause why the amended complaint should not be dismissed with prejudice for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Michael A. Shipp
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
DECIDED	February 12, 2026
DOCKET	Civil Action No. 26-1210 (MAS) (RLS)
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved for a temporary restraining order and later filed a verified motion to expand the TRO in an action asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Fourteenth Amendment. The district court denied both motions without oral argument and ordered Plaintiff to show cause why the amended complaint should not be dismissed with prejudice for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	A preliminary injunction or TRO requires the movant to establish likelihood of success on the merits, likely irreparable harm, a favorable balance of harms, and that relief is in the public interest. The movant bears the burden on the first two gateway factors, and failure to establish any factor makes preliminary relief inappropriate.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Mike Simonetti v. Lindsey Kramer/Hoag, et al.

TOPICS

injunctions

section 1983

subject matter jurisdiction

civil rights

due process

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

civil rights

constitutional law

equitable relief

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Plaintiff established the required elements for a temporary restraining order.
2. Whether a private individual who is not alleged to be a state actor may be liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
3. Whether the federal court should interfere with an ongoing New Jersey state-court proceeding absent extraordinary circumstances.
4. Whether Plaintiff should be required to show cause why the amended complaint should not be dismissed with prejudice for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

HOLDINGS

1. Plaintiff was not entitled to a temporary restraining order because he failed to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits, one of the required gateway factors for preliminary injunctive relief.
2. The specifically named Defendant could not be held liable under § 1983 on the allegations presented because she was a private individual and was not a state actor.
3. The court would not interfere with the ongoing New Jersey state-court proceeding because Plaintiff did not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances justifying such interference.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“A preliminary injunction or TRO may be granted only if a plaintiff establishes that: (1) “[he is] likely to succeed on the merits of [his] claims”; (2) “[he is] likely to suffer irreparable harm without relief”; (3) “the balance of harms favors [him]”; and (4) “relief is in the public interest.”” (at 1)

“Private citizens and entities that are not state actors cannot be subject to liability under § 1983.” (at 2)

“There is “a strong federal policy against federal-court interference with pending state judicial proceedings absent extraordinary circumstances[.]”” (at 2)

“Preliminary injunctive relief is an extraordinary remedy and should be granted only in limited circumstances.” (at 2)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff sought to halt enforcement of New Jersey state-court orders affecting real property at 1058 Center Street in Little Egg Harbor, New Jersey. He alleged that he and Defendant were never married or in a civil union or domestic partnership and therefore contended that the state court lacked subject-matter jurisdiction over his property rights. Plaintiff also alleged that prior incarceration was used as coercive leverage and that Defendant acted jointly with state actors in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court found that the only specifically named defendant was a private individual and that the dispute involved an ongoing New Jersey state-court proceeding.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed the complaint and TRO motion on February 6, 2026, and filed a first amended complaint on February 10, 2026. The court treated the amended complaint as operative for purposes of the TRO motion. Plaintiff filed a verified motion to expand the TRO on February 11, 2026. The court denied both motions and issued a fourteen-day show-cause order concerning dismissal of the amended complaint.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Issa v. Sch. Dist. of Lancaster, 847 F.3d 121, 131 (3d Cir. 2017)
- Reilly v. City of Harrisburg, 858 F.3d 173, 179 (3d Cir. 2017)
- NutraSweet Co. v. Vit-Mar Enters., Inc., 176 F.3d 151, 153 (3d Cir. 1999)
- AT&T v. Winback & Conserve Program, 42 F.3d 1421, 1427 (3d Cir. 1994)
- P.C. Yonkers, Inc. v. Celebrations the Party & Seasonal Superstore, LLC, 428 F.3d 504, 508 (3d Cir. 2005)
- Kos Pharms., Inc. v. Andrx Corp., 369 F.3d 700, 708 (3d Cir. 2004)
- Allen v. Freedom Mortg. Corp., No. 25-15839, 2025 WL 3502943, at *2 (D.N.J. Dec. 5, 2025)
- Liu v. Lu, No. 23-3819, 2024 WL 2750817, at *8 (D.N.J. May 29, 2024)
- Tobia v. Lakewood Bd. of Educ., No. 16-4850, 2017 WL 1206010, at *4 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2017)
- Middlesex Cnty. Ethics Comm. v. Garden State Bar Ass'n, 457 U.S. 423, 431 (1982)
- Dovin v. Chester Cnty. Dep't of Aging Servs., No. 23-3175, 2023 WL 5651983, at *5 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 31, 2023)
- Gonora v. Off. of Child Support Servs., No. 18-3793, 2019 WL 13271888, at *1 (D.N.J. Mar. 21, 2019), aff'd sub nom. Frederick of Fam. Gonora v. Off. of Child Support Servs., 783 F. App'x 250 (3d Cir. 2019)