

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Camacho v. District Court (Philip Morris USA, Inc.)

No. 82654, Supreme Court of Nevada (November 19, 2021)

SUMMARY

The Nevada Supreme Court granted petitioners' motion to voluntarily withdraw and dismiss their petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition in Docket No. 82654. The court dismissed the petition, ordered the parties to bear their own attorney fees and costs, and denied as moot a motion to consolidate.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Nevada
JURISDICTION	Nevada
DECIDED	November 19, 2021
DOCKET	82654
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitioners sought mandamus or prohibition relief from the Nevada Supreme Court. After the district court orally granted reconsideration in petitioners' favor and petitioners notified the Supreme Court that the writ petition was moot, petitioners moved to voluntarily withdraw and dismiss the petition.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Nonprecedential dispositional order
PARTIES	Anthony Camacho, Sandra Camacho v. The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark, Nadia Krall, ASM Nationwide Corporation, Liggett Group, LLC, Philip Morris USA, Inc., R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company

TOPICS

- writ of certiorari
- mootness
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- extraordinary writs

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether petitioners' motion to voluntarily withdraw and dismiss the petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition should be granted.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“The petition is dismissed.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The underlying matter was pending in the Eighth Judicial District Court in Clark County. Petitioners sought extraordinary relief concerning that proceeding. During the pendency of the writ petition, the district court orally granted reconsideration to petitioners, and petitioners advised the Supreme Court that the writ petition had become moot.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The petition was filed on March 24, 2021, challenging proceedings in Clark County District Court case A807650. The Supreme Court ordered answers, received an answer and joinder, and was later notified that the district court had granted reconsideration to petitioners. The Supreme Court ordered petitioners to provide the written district court order and file any motion to withdraw; petitioners then moved for voluntary dismissal. On November 19, 2021, the court granted the motion despite R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company's opposition and dismissed the petition.

DISPOSITION

dismissed