

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Michael Alan Dean Hill v. Lt. Payne, et al.

Hill v. Payne · No. 2:25-cv-0433 DAD CKD P · Decided November 12, 2025

OPINION

Payne

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MICHAEL ALAN DEAN HILL, No. 2:25-cv-0433 DAD CKD P

12 Plaintiff, 13 v.

14 LT. PAYNE, et al., FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

15 Defendants. 16

17 On May 14, 2025, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. The amended 18
complaint was due on October 30, 2025. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. 19
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 20
prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 21 These findings and recommendations are
submitted to the United States District Judge 22 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of
28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 23 after being served with these findings and
recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 24 with the court. The document should be
captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings 25 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is
advised that failure to file objections within the specified 26 // 27 // 28 // 1 || time waives the
right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th 2 | Cir. 1991). 3 ||
Dated: November 12, 2025 Card Kt | / ye ☐☐☐

4 CAROLYNK.DELANEY

5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6 7 8] 1 9 hill0433.fta(2) 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28