

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Adonis Sacerio-Subirat v. Merick Garland et al.

No. 5:25-cv-03468-RGK (SK), United States District Court for the Central District of California (January 29,
2026)

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California ordered petitioner Adonis Sacerio-Subirat to show cause why his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas petition should not be dismissed as moot. The order explains that respondents reported petitioner had been removed from the United States and warns that failure to respond could result in dismissal for mootness, lack of prosecution, or noncompliance with court orders.

OPINION

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL Case No. 5:25-cv-03468-RGK (SK) Date: January 29, 2026 Title Adonis Sacerio-Subirat v. Merick Garland et al. Present: The Honorable: Steve Kim, United States Magistrate Judge Connie Chung n/a Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Petitioner: Attorneys Present for Respondent: None present None present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PETITION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED AS MOOT On December 8, 2025, petitioner Adonis Sacerio-Subirat filed a habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 challenging his prolonged detention at Adelanto Immigration and Customs Enforcement Processing Center pending his final removal.

(ECF 1). The court ordered respondents to answer the petition. (ECF 4). Respondents have indicated the petition is now moot because petitioner was removed from the United States on January 19, 2026. (ECF 9). Because petitioner's release under these circumstances would moot his petition, see *Murphy v. Hunt*, 455 U.S. 478, 481 (1982), petitioner is ordered to show cause why the petition should not be dismissed as moot.

Petitioner may discharge this order by signing and returning the attached Form CV-09y within 14 days of the date of this order. Failure to return that form on time as ordered or to otherwise timely respond in writing to this order may be deemed petitioner's consent to voluntary dismissal of the petition for the reasons set forth in this order, or it can lead to the immediate closing of this case with no further notice for lack of prosecution and noncompliance with court orders.

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L.R. 41-1. IT IS SO ORDERED.

