

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Young v. County of Alameda

Young · No. 24-cv-03914-PCP · Decided July 22, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California dismissed the action without prejudice after Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint by the court-ordered deadline. The court stated that Plaintiff may move to reopen the action by filing an amended complaint and a motion to reopen.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
WALTER LEE YOUNG, Case No. 24-cv-03914-PCP g Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING
ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE 9 v. 10 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, et al., 11 Defendants. L 13
On April 28, 2025, the Court dismissed the original complaint because it failed to state a 14 ||
cognizable claim for relief. Dkt. No. 16.

The Court granted partial leave to amend. See *id.* The 3 15 amended complaint was due June 6,
2025, see *id.*, but has not been filed, see generally, Dkt. a 16 Because Plaintiff failed to amend
despite opportunity and a warning of the consequences, 17 || this action is DISMISSED without
prejudice. See *Harris v. Mangum*, 863 F.3d 1133, 1141-42 Z 18 || (9th Cir. 2017) (recognizing
propriety of dismissing action where plaintiff failed to amend).

19 If Plaintiff believes he can state a cognizable claim, he may move to reopen this action. See 20
Fed. R. Civ. P. 59, 60. To do this, he must file an amended complaint in accordance with the 21
Court's previous order and a motion with the words MOTION TO REOPEN written on the first
22 || page. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 || Dated: July 22, 2025 26 Ze 27 L Coy P. Casey Pitt 28
United States District Judge