

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Bradley Hunter Golden v. The State of Texas

No. 10-25-00390-CR (Tex. App.—Waco May 7, 2026) (mem.) · No. 10-25-00390-CR · Decided May 7, 2026

SUMMARY

The Tenth Court of Appeals of Texas reviewed Bradley Hunter Golden's Anders appeal from his conviction for unauthorized use of a motor vehicle and 15-year prison sentence. The court determined that the appeal was wholly frivolous, affirmed the trial court's judgment, and granted appointed counsel's motion to withdraw.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Court of Appeals Tenth Appellate District of Texas 10-25-00390-CR Bradley Hunter Golden, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee On appeal from the 19th District Court of McLennan County, Texas Judge Thomas C. West, presiding Trial Court Cause No. 2024-677-C1 JUSTICE HARRIS delivered the opinion of the Court. MEMORANDUM OPINION Bradley Hunter Golden was convicted of unauthorized use of a motor vehicle and sentenced to 15 years in prison.

We affirm the trial court's judgment. Golden's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw and an Anders brief in support of the motion asserting that he has diligently reviewed the appellate record and that, in his opinion, the appeal is frivolous. See *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967). Counsel's brief evidences a professional evaluation of the record for error and compliance with the other duties of appointed counsel.

We conclude that counsel has performed the duties required of appointed counsel. See *Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); see also *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319-320 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008).

In reviewing an Anders appeal, we must, "after a full examination of all the proceedings,... decide whether the case is wholly frivolous." *Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; see *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80, 109 S. Ct. 346, 102 L. Ed. 2d 300 (1988); accord *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 509-11 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). An appeal is "wholly frivolous" or "without merit" when it "lacks any basis in law or fact."

McCoy v. Court of Appeals, 486 U.S. 429, 439 n. 10, 108 S. Ct. 1895, 100 L. Ed. 2d 440 (1988). After a review of the entire record in this appeal, we have determined the appeal to be wholly frivolous. See *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, we affirm the trial court's judgment. Counsel's motion to withdraw from representation of Golden is granted. LEE HARRIS Justice Golden v. State Page 2 OPINION DELIVERED and FILED: May 7, 2026 Before Chief Justice Johnson, Justice Smith, and Justice Harris Affirmed Motion granted Do Not Publish CR25 Golden v. State Page 3

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-for-the-tenth-appellate-district-of-texas-court-of-appeals-for-the-tenth-appellate/2026/bradley-hunter-golden-v-the-state-of-texas-jk6jbag0>